claimed.

REMARKS

Docket No.: 0054-0277P

Claims 1-6, and 11-15 are currently pending. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully

requested in view of the remarks presented herein below.

In paragraph 4 of the Office action ("Action"), the Examiner rejects claims 1-3, 11, and

12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No.

2002/0046247 A1 to Iwase et al. ("Iwase") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,877,031 to Watanabe et

al. ("Watanabe"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

In order to support a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the Examiner must establish a

prima facie case of obviousness. To establish a prima facie case of obviousness three criteria

must be met. First, there must be some motivation to combine the cited references. Second,

there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the combination must teach each and

every claimed element. In the present case, claims 1-3, 11 and 12 are not rendered unpatentable

by the combination of Iwase and Watanabe for at least the reason that the combination fails to

disclose each and every claimed element. More specifically, the combination fails to disclose or

suggest creating a user ID and password and transmitting the user ID to the mail address as

Iwase discloses a server apparatus in which a groupware is operated. The apparatus

includes: a memory to store data; an interface configured to transmit data to a mobile terminal;

and a controller configured to form data for transmission to the mobile terminal and separately manage the thus formed data for transmission and data among data addressed to the mobile

terminal which is not transmitted to the mobile terminal and is stored in the memory when the

Reply to Office Action of November 17, 2008

data addressed to the mobile terminal is transmitted to the mobile terminal via the interface (See

¶ [0013] of Iwase). Iwase further discloses that a user ID and password are stored in association

with an account name and the mail address of a mobile terminal. However, nowhere in Iwase is

there any disclosure or suggestion to transmitting the user ID (as recited in claims 11 and 12) or

user ID and password (as recited in claim 1) to the mail address from which the electronic mail

with attachment was received.

Watanabe discloses a network photograph service system. The system manages various

kinds of information regarding users by the users IDs and passwords stored in the system. In

addition, the system provides means for electronic mail transmissions to different users. (See ¶¶

column 7, lines 17-55 of Watanabe). However, nowhere in Watanabe is there any disclosure or

suggestion of transmitting the user ID or password to the mail address from which an electronic

mail with attachment was received as claimed. Accordingly, Watanabe fails to overcome the

deficiencies of Iwase.

Since Iwase and Watanabe both fail to disclose or suggest a print service system that

includes transmitting the user ID (as recited in claims 11 and 12) or user ID and password (as

recited in claim 1) to the mail address from which the electronic mail with attachment was

received as claimed, the combination of these two references cannot possibly disclose or suggest

said element. Therefore, even if one skilled in the art were motivated to combine Iwase and

Watanabe, the combination would still fail to render claims 1-3, 11, and 12 unpatentable because

the combination fails to disclose each and every claimed element. Reconsideration and

3

Application No. 10/611,962 Amendment dated February 17, 2009 Reply to Office Action of November 17, 2008

withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-3, 11, and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is respectfully requested.

In paragraph 6 of the Action, the Examiner rejects claims 4-6 and 13-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwase in view of Watanabe, further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0078159 to Petrogiannis et al. ("Petrogiannis"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Claims 4-6 variously depend from independent claim 1. Therefore, claims 4-6 are patentable over the combination of Iwase and Watanabe for at least those reasons presented above with respect to claim 1. Petrogiannis discloses a method and system for enabling a correspondent to securely and electronically sign a document. The system of Petrogiannis includes means for generating a user ID and password and transmitting the user ID and password to a third party in an email. However, nowhere in Petrogiannis is there any disclosure or suggestion of transmitting the user ID and password to the mail address from which the electronic mail with attachment was received as claimed. Therefore, Petrogiannis fails to overcome the deficiencies of Iwase and Watanabe.

Since Iwase, Watanabe, and Petrogiannis, each fail to disclose or suggest a print service system that includes transmitting the user ID and password to the mail address from which the electronic mail with attachment was received as claimed, the combination of these three references cannot possibly disclose or suggest said element. Therefore, even if one skilled in the art were motivated to combine Iwase. Watanabe, and Petrogiannis, the combination would still

Application No. 10/611,962 Amendment dated February 17, 2009 Reply to Office Action of November 17, 2008

fail to render claims 4-6 unpatentable because the combination fails to disclose each and every

claimed element.

Independent claim 13, and claims 14 and 15 which depend therefrom, define a print

service program that includes, inter alia, a user ID creation step for creating a user ID and a

password for a mail address used by the user and the mail address of a third party when a mail

address of the third party is included in the body of the received electronic mail and a user ID

transmission step for transmitting the user ID and the password to the mail address of the user

and the mail address of the third party. Therefore, claims 13-15 are patentable over the

combination of Iwase. Watanabe, and Petrogiannis for at least reasons similar to those presented

above regarding claim 4.

For at least those reasons presented above, Applicant respectfully requests

reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 4-6 and 13-15 under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a).

The application is in condition for allowance. Notice of same is earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the

Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Penny Caudle Reg. No. 46,607 at the telephone

number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in

connection with the present application.

Application No. 10/611,962 Amendment dated February 17, 2009

Reply to Office Action of November 17, 2008

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: February 17, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By Penny Coudle #46,607

Michael K. Mutter

Registration No.: 29,680

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 0054-0277P

8110 Gatehouse Road Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000